

Replies to Queries on RFP (Appointment of Consultant for conducting a benchmarking study of Upstream Oil Sector with reference to select Upstream Oil Sector CPSEs) raised during the Pre Bid Meeting held on 9th September, 2014 and received through E-mail

Sr. No.	Query/Clarification	DPE Reply
1.	<p>Please provide examples of the typical language/ requested form and content of the two undertakings required for the Technical Proposal:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An undertaking stating that the firm is not blacklisted by Government or its agencies including Central/ State Level Public Enterprises. 2. An undertaking regarding non-disclosure/sharing of confidential information with third parties. 	<p>No such language or form is specified by DPE.</p>
2.	<p>In the undertaking regarding non-disclosure/ sharing of confidential information with third parties, please specify the source of the confidential information. Is this the consultant's confidential information or is this referencing the confidential information of the Upstream Oil Sector CPSEs?</p>	<p>Refer Clause 7 and Clause 13 of Part II – Section 2 of RFP, the undertaking is to be given in respect of data provided by DPE/ CPSE/ MoPNG during the course of the assignment.</p>
3.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) What alternative forms would be acceptable for the Earnest Money Deposit? (ii) Can a cashier's check, banker's draft, or some other form of check in US Dollars (USD) as the Earnest Money Deposit in the equivalent amount of Rs.2,50,000/- is acceptable? 	<p>Refer Clause 12.1 of Part I – Section 1 of RFP, the EMD has to be strictly provided in the form of demand draft amounting to Rs.2,50,000/-.</p>
4.	<p>The General Conditions (GC) and Specific Conditions (SC) are not attached in the RFP document. Request you to please provide the same.</p>	<p>The General and Specific Conditions are part of the Contract and the same will be provided to the consultant selected after financial bid opening.</p>
5.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) In case of a sub-consultancy or a consortium, we request DPE to clarify whether each member would be evaluated separately and their scores would be added or would an average of the scores be taken for arriving at the final technical score. (ii) Also, in case of an individual expert being hired by the consultancy firm, we request clarification on how would his experience be considered for evaluation. 	<p>Please refer to Clause 3.1 & 3.2 of Part I – Section 1 of RFP, each member shall be evaluated separately as per the qualification/ eligibility criteria set forth in Part II of Section 2. The combined score of the each member of the association of consultant shall be taken into account for evaluation purpose</p>
6.	<p>We request you to please consider the following and reword the clause 5.3 Section 1 accordingly:</p> <p>If a conflict of interest situation arises after the award of contract, then consultant will use reasonable efforts to resolve any such conflict of interest within a reasonable time period. If</p>	<p>No such changes can be made in clause 5.3 of Section 1 of RFP.</p>

